

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Kamat Tower, Seventh Floor, Patto Panaji-Goa

CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner.

Appeal 121/SCIC/2014

Dr. (Ms) Kalpana V. Kamat,
Caldeira Arcade , 1st floor,
Bhutebhat Vasco Goa – 403802 Appellant.

V/s.

1. OSD/Public Information Officer (PIO),
Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority,
Government of Goa, Dempo Towers, 3rd floor,
Patto, Panaji-Goa
2. Member Secretary, First Appellate Authority (FAA),
Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority,
Government of Goa, Dempo Towers, 3rd floor,
Patto, Panaji-Goa Respondents

Appeal filed on: 17/11/2014

Decided on: 24/01/2017

ORDER

1. Brief facts of the case are that the Appellant, Ms. Dr. Kalpana Y. Kamat vide application dated 2/06/2014 has sought for certain information at point No. 1 to 6 stated there in her application. The said application was responded by the Respondent No. 1, Public Information Officer (PIO) vide letter dated 13/06/14 and 20/06/2014. Further in continuation to the said letter PIO vide letter dated 27/06/14 also intimated the appellant to collect information on payment of Rs. 12/- .
2. Appellant being not satisfied with reply, filed first Appeal before First Appellate Authority (FAA) who is Respondent No. 2 herein on 3/07/14 and the Respondent No. 2 by an order dated 14/07/14 directed to provide copies of rest of information within 3 days free of cost. In the said order it was observed by the FAA that Appellant submitted that she has received some information on 3/07/14 at 4 p.m.

3. Being aggrieved by the action of the Respondent No. 1 Appellant preferred 2nd appeal before this Commission under section 19(3) of Right To Information Act on 17/11/2014.
4. In pursuant to notice of this Commission the appellant was present in person. On behalf of Respondent PIO, Advocate Raghvendra Kalangutkar appeared and submitted that the due information have been furnished to the Appellant on 1/04/2015 and also on 30/06/2016.
5. The Appellant during the hearing on 24/01/2017 also confirmed of having received the same on 30/06/2016. She also submitted that she is not insisting for penalty and compensation. And prayed to close the proceeding. Accordingly she endorsed her say, on the reverse page of memo of appeal.
6. In view of the submission made by the appellant and acknowledgment made by her, nothing survives to be decided in the present appeal. It also reveals from the records of proceeding that PIO has showed his willingness to provide her information. and has shown his bonafides in the entire issue. As such the levy of penalty and compensation is not warranted in the present proceedings.
7. In view of above the present proceedings stand dismissed as withdrawn.

Proceeding stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-

(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa

